



REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES

FOR

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR THE PSSGID

SAN JUAN WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT



DUE DATE AND TIME – May 8, 2024, 10:00 AM

Mandatory Pre-SOQ Conference and Tour: - April 10, 2020, 10:00 AM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Project Background information.....	1
1.1.	Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District.....	1
1.2.	Pagosa Springs Sanitation General Improvement District.....	1
1.3.	Existing Wastewater Collection and Treatment.....	1
1.3.1.	PSSGID.....	1
1.3.2.	PSSGID.....	2
1.3.2.1.	PSSGID Force Main.....	2
1.3.3.	Community Growth.....	3
2.	Principal regulatory drivers.....	3
2.1.	PSSGID Feasibility.....	3
3.	Statement of Qualifications.....	4
3.1.	Purpose of the Statement of Qualifications.....	4
3.2.	Anticipated Services Required.....	5
3.3.	Components of SOQ.....	6
3.4.	Components of Selection.....	6
3.5.	Criteria of the SOQ.....	7
3.6.	Evaluation Process.....	7
3.7.	Prime Engineering Firm.....	8
3.8.	Non-Obligation.....	8
3.9.	Business and Professional Licenses.....	8
3.10.	Insurance.....	8
3.11.	Taxes and Fees.....	9
3.12.	Proprietary Information.....	9
3.13.	Contract Negotiations.....	10
4.	SOQ Requirements.....	10
4.1.	Submission Requirements.....	10
4.2.	Delivery of SOQ.....	10
4.3.	SOQ Costs.....	10
4.4.	Acceptance.....	11
4.5.	Clarifications.....	11
4.6.	Mandatory Pre-SOQ Conference/Tour.....	11
4.7.	SOQ Rejections.....	11
5.	SOQ Format.....	11
5.1.	Submission Instructions.....	11
5.2.	SOQ Format.....	12
5.2.1.	Letter of Transmittal and Certification of Statement of Qualifications.....	12
5.2.2.	Executive Summary.....	12
5.2.3.	Company Profile.....	12
5.2.4.	Engineering Firm Team Qualifications.....	12
5.2.5.	References.....	13
5.2.6.	Addenda Acknowledgements.....	13

FIGURES

- Figure 1. Service Area
- Figure 2. PSSGID Conveyance System

APPENDICES

- Appendix A. Certification of Statement of Qualifications

I. PROJECT BACKGROUND INFORMATION

I.1. PAGOSA AREA WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT

The Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District (PAWSD) is a quasi-municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Colorado and is organized and operated pursuant to Title 32, Colorado Revised Statutes. PAWSD provides water supply and distribution services within its jurisdictional boundaries.

PAWSD encompasses approximately 70 square miles within Archuleta County in southwestern Colorado. It includes within its boundaries the Town of Pagosa Springs and unincorporated portions of Archuleta County, including the Pagosa Lakes resort community.

The PAWSD service area is broken into two districts. District 1 is the Pagosa Lakes area that can be generally described as running along Piedra Road and west to the Elk Park Ranch subdivision and runs north to the Eagle Peak subdivision and south to the southern end of the Meadows subdivision. District 2 is the area west of Piedra Road which includes the Town of Pagosa Springs and the Highway 84 corridor to the Loma Linda Subdivision and west along Highway 160 to the Log Park subdivision.

A map outlining the service areas is included in Figure 1.

PAWSD provides sewer collection services for the majority of District 1.

I.2. PAGOSA SPRINGS SANITATION GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

The Pagosa Springs Sanitation General Improvement District (PSSGID) originally provided sewer collection and wastewater treatment for the Town of Pagosa Springs. The PSSGID was organized by the Town Council of the Town of Pagosa Springs pursuant to § 31-25-601, et seq., C.R.S. For decades, the PSSGID operated and maintained a three-celled aerated lagoon which discharged directly to the San Juan River.

In 2016 the District and PSSGID entered into a partnership whereby PAWSD receives and treats Town wastewater, which allowed the PSSGID to abandon the aging lagoons. The removal of these lagoons and lagoon discharge to the San Juan River was required by the CDPHE in part due to a water intake structure just downstream from the lagoon discharge and concerns regarding nitrogen discharge. This intergovernmental agreement also stipulates the town's proportionate financial responsibility for any WWTP upgrades.

I.3. EXISTING WASTEWATER COLLECTION AND TREATMENT

I.3.1. PSSGID

PAWSD currently operates about 150 miles of collection lines in District 1. The Vista WWTP has a hydraulic design capacity of approximately 4 MGD with a BOD capacity of approximately 4,000 lb./day.

I.3.2. PSSGID

The PSSGID provides sewer collection services to residents and businesses within a defined district that encompasses approximately five-square miles, mainly the downtown commercial and residential core of Pagosa Springs. The wastewater generated within the PSSGID boundaries is delivered to the PAWSD Vista WWTP through two-in-series pumping stations and a seven-mile force main.

I.3.2.1. PSSGID Force Main

The pumping stations used to provide pressure for the force main have been plagued with problems since operations began. Although the system was engineered and approvals were provided by CDPHE, the pumping conveyance operation has been challenged from the start due to several design factors. First, the system was never provided with adequate storage volume to store wastewater in the event of a system failure. There was no emergency overflow contingency at either of the major pump stations and the accepted solution prepared by the engineer and approved by CDPHE should the pumps fail to operate was to hire pumping trucks to collect wastewater and haul it to the treatment plant. Even if the trucks were running continuously, this solution could not keep up with the volume. An emergency overflow vault holding 250,000 gallons was installed by the PSSGID at Pump Station One in 2017 with the assistance of a state grant to help mitigate this issue. The overflow vault is used regularly, and while it is helpful to have some emergency storage, it can only handle up to 20 hours at our average daily flow of 300,000-350,000 gallons per day. Due to imminent failures of the poorly specified pumps, and lack of adequate emergency storage, the PSSGID has taken steps to prepare to establish emergency overflow open lagoons to hold wastewater before it enters the San Juan River at Pump Station One. The eight Sulzer pumps at these in-series pumping stations that were specified and originally installed have experienced failure after failure. The impellers and seals failed due to cavitation and other issues. The PSSGID hired a forensic engineer to review the construction and design of the system. This showed several items that were either not designed correctly or overlooked in the system from the beginning. After replacing costly pumps many times over at the stations and running into supply chain availability issues, the PSSGID engaged with another engineer in 2022 to study the pump failures and recommend new pump solutions. The original eight Sulzer pumps (which were supposed to be interchangeable between the wet well and the dry pit) were replaced at a cost of \$900,000 in summer of 2022. Thanks to a grant of \$400,000, the PSSGID's cost was \$500,000 including station retrofits, programming, electrical, etc. Engineering analysis showed the original pumps did not meet adequate positive net pressure levels and the pumps continued to experience cavitation. Additionally, odor issues generated complaints throughout the community and high levels of hydrogen sulfide gas have caused serious corrosion in the wet wells, electrical equipment, and force main. The PSSGID installed odor scrubbers at each of the stations to help remove this gas build up; however, the long-term sufficiency of this solution is questionable.

I.3.3. Community Growth

Archuleta County's population has been growing steadily in recent years. The growth can be attributed to several factors, including its natural beauty and outdoor recreational opportunities. The COVID pandemic has increased the growth the county has been experiencing, putting additional pressures on the District's Vista treatment system. There are growth pressures that include a new major subdivision and an expansion of the Springs Resort and Spa, adding more users to the system. Any significant capital projects surrounding the Vista WWTP should address this on-going growth in order to provide safe, environmentally responsible treatment of increasing volumes of community wastewater.

2. PRINCIPAL REGULATORY DRIVERS

The receiving streams for the effluent discharge from the Vista WWTP are Stevens Draw, Martinez Creek, and Stollsteimer Creek (consecutively in that order). These streams are located in the Piedra River Sub-Basin of the San Juan River Watershed. These streams typically run dry, except during spring runoff, storm events, or due to effluent discharge from the WWTP. Therefore, there is no available assimilative capacity (dilution) to consider when CDPHE develops discharge limits for the WWTP. Effluent flows via an ephemeral stream to Stevens Draw, which is designated as Use Protected. Stevens Draw feeds Martinez Creek and Stollsteimer Creek, which are classified as Aquatic Life Warm 2, Recreation Class P (potential primary contact use), Agriculture. Martinez Creek and Stollsteimer Creek are designated as Reviewable for Anti-Degradation purposes and are also considered drinking water supply sources.

The District is obligated to meet the compliance schedules outlined in Part I(B)(6) of the permit. The two compliance requirements that have the greatest financial impact on the District are as follows:

1. Meeting a daily maximum total inorganic nitrogen (TIN) limit of 10 mg-N/L by 2025.
2. Complying with a running 12-month median total phosphorus (TP) limit of 1.0 mg-P/L by 2026.

The above-noted TIN and TP limits are respectively driven by drinking water protection and Regulation 85 compliance. The additions and modifications needed to meet these limits are herein termed the Phase 1 Improvements.

The Vista WWTP NPDES permit will be up for renewal in 2024. The revised permit will likely require further upgrades to the discharge limits (Phase 2 Improvements) to meet Regulation 31.

2.1. PSSGID FEASIBILITY

The PSSGID recently solicited an engineer to provide a feasibility study for the long-term needs of the PSSGID. There were multiple options the engineer analyzed prior to recommending a preferred option.

Option 1: Continue the operation of the pumping system and provide financial support to the Vista WWTP upgrades as required per the existing IGA between the PSSGID and PAWSD. This option might be less costly in the short run but would effectively keep in place the existing pumping system with all of its attendant issues as detailed above.

Option 2: Abandon the pumping system and design and construct a new wastewater treatment plant to be operated and maintained by the PSSGID located in the location of the previously abandoned lagoons. This option may not be economically feasible given the small customer base and a timeline which would force the Town finance the new plant while paying off the debt on the existing pumping infrastructure and contributing to PAWSD Phase 1 improvements until such a plant can be engineered, financed and constructed.

Option 3: Partner with PAWSD for the design and construction of an entirely new WWTP to be located in the location of the previously abandoned lagoons. The new system would be sized to meet the long-term growth of the community along with meeting requirements of Regulations 85 and 31. PAWSD is not currently under a compliance schedule for Regulation 31. The District's existing discharge permit is set for renewal in 2024 and the new permit will likely have a compliance schedule for Regulation 31. The new plant being designed to meet Regulation 31 would almost certainly bring PAWSD and the Town into compliance with the regulation well ahead of the yet-undetermined compliance schedule

Option 3 is the PSSGID Board's preferred option. PAWSD and the PSSGID have developed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) detailing steps, schedules and district duties to proceed with this option.

3. STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

3.1. PURPOSE OF THE STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

The PSSGID and PAWSD are seeking proposals from qualified engineering firms to assess and provide the following:

1. The feasibility of using the existing force main that currently is used in conjunction with the two pumping stations to pump sewage from the PSSGID to the PAWSD Vista WWTP to feed wastewater from the PAWSD district to the proposed site of the new WWTP.
 - a. Determine pumping requirements (if necessary).
 - b. Determine additional line size requirements (if necessary).
2. Determine design and construction steps to ensure the location of the proposed WWTP is located outside of the San Juan River's 100-Year Flood Plain and protection from the San Juan 500-year flood event.

The following may be requested as additional work:

3. Estimated organic and hydraulic size of the facility for the expected life of the facility.
4. Estimated land area required for the facility.
5. Estimated design and construction cost of the facility.
6. Provide an estimated timeline of design, regulatory approval, and construction of the facility.

The two Districts intend to use the selected firm to continue with the project after feasibility has been completed and extend the contract for additional feasibility studies, design services, bidding assistance and construction oversight services. The Districts will have the authority to develop a new RFQ for the subsequent phases at their sole discretion. It is the intent of the Districts to move directly from a ranking of interested firms based on their SOQ's and subsequent interviews, to the development of a negotiated Scope of Work, Budget and a contract for services.

The District anticipates three phases of engineering work, each to be negotiated and awarded separately and sequentially. These phases are:

1. Feasibility study and cost estimating;
2. Preliminary design, final design and bid documents;
3. Bidding assistance, construction management and inspections.

Below are the anticipated services for all three phases of the project.

- Civil Engineering;
- Electrical Engineering;
- Instrumentation and Control Engineering;
- Mechanical Engineering;
- Structural Engineering;
- Architectural Design;
- Geotechnical Engineering and Soils Laboratory Services;
- Water Chemistry Analysis
- Survey Services;
- Construction Cost Estimating;
- Construction Management/Oversight;
- Permitting Services.

3.2. ANTICIPATED SERVICES REQUIRED

The SOQ of interested engineering firms or teams shall state their qualifications to provide the above-mentioned services:

3.3. COMPONENTS OF SOQ

The SOQ of interested engineering firms or teams shall state their qualifications to provide the following services:

- A. Design of water treatment plants in compliance with all rules, regulations, and requirements of the Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment (CDPHE).
- B. Design of wastewater treatment plants in mountain communities with similar source water, weather and flow conditions.
- C. Familiarity with a variety of wastewater treatment technologies.
- D. Ability to complete design and bid process professionally and in a timely manner.
- E. Ability to perform construction management and inspection during the project.
- F. Ability to communicate to the Districts during feasibility and design phases.
- G. Ability to aid the District in acquiring funding sources, including developing Preliminary Engineering Reports in formats acceptable to funding agencies.
- H. Understanding of construction practices related to the construction of a municipal WWTP.

3.4. COMPONENTS OF SELECTION

The following conditions will be outlined in the contract of the project:

- A. The Districts will rate the top firms based on their Statement of Qualifications provided in the RFQ response and will interview, at a minimum, the top three firms.
- B. The Districts will begin negotiating a Scope of Work for the project with the top ranked firm amongst the firms interviewed. Should the District be unable to reach agreement on the Scope of Work and cost for the project with the top-ranked firm it will proceed to negotiations with the second ranked firm, and so on.
- C. The Districts expects that professionals identified in a firm's response to the RFQ will be the same professionals who will do the majority of the work during the creation of the scope of work, planning, and review phases of the project. Changes to any key members of this proposal will require District notification and approval. Award of a contract is based on the qualifications of the team members provided in the response to this RFQ; therefore, failure of the firm or any subcontractor to commit these individuals or Districts approved substitutes with comparable or higher skills without additional cost to the District, may be considered cause for termination of the contract.
- D. The Districts expect that the engineering firms will show substantial experience with wastewater treatment plant design and construction.
- E. The Districts will maintain oversight of the project through all phases.

3.5. CRITERIA OF THE SOQ

The following criteria shall be fully addressed in the SOQ:

- A. Firm's history and capability to perform the services identified;
- B. Firms familiarity with the community needs.
- C. Firm's financial stability and accountability;
- D. Relevant project experience;
- E. Qualifications of the Project Manager;
- F. Qualifications of project team members;
- G. Familiarity with water treatment plants similar in size and under the jurisdiction of the CDPHE and Regulation 31 compliance;
- H. Proximity of the Project Manager and team to Pagosa Springs CO and responsiveness of the Project Manager and team on project issues;
- I. Project approach and schedule;
- J. References;
- K. Quality, clarity and briefness of the SOQ.

3.6. EVALUATION PROCESS

This is an evaluation of the engineering firm's qualifications, do not include costs, or references to costs in the submittal. :

The evaluation will be based on information provided related to the selection criteria as follows:

	Points
A. Firm's history and capability to perform the services identified;	10
B. Firm's financial stability and accountability;	5
C. Relevant project experience;	15
D. Qualifications of the Project Manager;	10
E. Qualifications of project team members;	10
F. Familiarity with water treatment plants similar in size and under the jurisdiction of the CDPHE;	15
G. Proximity of the Project Manager and team to Pagosa Springs CO and responsiveness of the Project Manager and team on project issues;	10
H. Understanding of construction practices related to the construction of a municipal WTP;	10
I. References;	5
J. Quality, clarity and briefness of the SOQ.	10

Scoring will be completed by a hiring committee consisting of staff from both Districts. The evaluations are at the sole discretion of the Districts and the Districts decisions in the evaluation process and moving forward with the development of a contract are final and not open to appeal.

3.7. PRIME ENGINEERING FIRM

It is recognized that several engineering firms may wish to combine their resources in responding to this RFQ. An SOQ with such a combination is acceptable and encouraged provided that the complete SOQ contains all the required information, and indicates which engineering firms shall be responsible for each of the components that make up the complete set of services. In addition, there must be a prime engineering firm designated to perform all implementation and project management activities such as project scheduling and coordination, communication, issue tracking, service delivery, integration, and implementation. All ongoing support, maintenance, changes and support contracts for the implemented services must be coordinated through the prime engineering firm.

The District will enter into a single contract with the prime engineering firm for the entire proposed services. The prime engineering firm is unilaterally liable for the success and ongoing support of the proposed services, regardless of other engineering firm dependencies. The prime engineer shall ensure all subcontractors abide by the rules of any funding agencies.

3.8. NON-OBLIGATION

Receipt of SOQs in response to this RFQ does not obligate the District in any way. The right to accept or reject any engineering firm shall be exercised solely by the District. PAWSD shall have the right to waive any defects in the SOQ at its sole option. PAWSD shall retain the right to abandon the RFQ/SOQ process at any time prior to the actual execution of a contract with an engineering firm, and the District shall bear no financial or other responsibility in the event of such abandonment.

3.9. BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSES

The successful engineering firm and all subcontractors are required to hold valid business and professional licenses and registrations required by the State of Colorado prior to submittal of the SOQ and such licenses and registrations must be maintained in current status throughout the term of the agreement for services.

3.10. INSURANCE

The engineering firm will hold harmless, indemnify and defend the PAWSD and its employees, agents, officers and servants from any and all lawsuits, claims, demands and causes of action of any kind arising from the negligent or intentional acts, errors or omissions of the engineering firm, its officers, employees or agents. This will include, but not be limited to, the amounts of judgments, penalties, interest, court costs, reasonable legal fees, and all other expenses incurred by PAWSD arising in favor of any party, including the amounts of

any damages or awards resulting from claims, demands and causes of action for breach of fiduciary duty or malfeasance, personal injuries, death or damages to property.

During the term of this Agreement, the engineering firm shall: (i) maintain all insurance required by the State Workmen's Compensation Act or any other employee benefit law; (ii) provide broad form general liability and property damage insurance in the minimum amount of \$1,000,000 for bodily injury, death or damage to property of any person, and \$2,000,000 for bodily injury, death or damage to property of more than one person, or such other greater amounts as may be specified in the Contract Documents for injuries, death, or damages which may arise out of or result from engineering firm's acts or omissions in performing the Project, designating District and as "additional insured" thereunder; Such certificates shall provide that coverages afforded thereunder shall not be cancelled until at least thirty (30) days' prior written notice has been given to District. (iii) Automobile insurance policies for bodily injury, including death, and property damage, including coverage for owned, hired or non-owned vehicles as applicable for the protection of the engineering firm and PAWSD in the coverage amount of \$1,000,000. (iv) Maintain errors and omissions insurance in the amount not less than \$2,000,000.

Successful engineering firm will be required to supply proof of insurance prior to commencing work on the project.

All policies shall contain provisions to the effect that in the event of payment of any loss or damage the insurers will have no rights of recovery against any of the insureds or additional insureds.

3.11. TAXES AND FEES

PAWSD is exempt from federal and state taxes and will provide proof upon written request. If an engineering firm is required to pay any taxes or fees incurred as a result of doing business with the District, the engineering firm shall be solely responsible for payment of those taxes or fees.

3.12. PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

PAWSD will attempt to protect legitimate trade secrets of the engineering firm. Any proprietary information contained in the engineering firm's SOQ must be clearly designated and shall be labeled with the words "Proprietary Information". Marking the entire SOQ or any one or more of the major sections as proprietary will not be permissible.

The engineering firm should be aware that the District is required by the Colorado Open Records Act to make certain records available for public inspection with certain exceptions. The engineering firm, by submission of materials marked proprietary, acknowledges and agrees that the District will have no obligation or liability to the engineering firm in the event that the District must disclose these materials. All pricing related materials will be a public record upon completion of this competitive process and the Notice of Award has been issued.

3.13. CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS

Upon ranking of the interviewed engineering firms, contract negotiations shall commence. Contract negotiations will require the selected engineering firm to proceed with the development of a Scope of Work and cost for all the components of the project identified. If any engineering firm fails to provide the necessary Scope of Work and cost information for negotiations in a timely manner, does not negotiate in good faith, cannot perform the contract for the project, or cannot reach an agreement on costs and/or scope of work with the District, the District may cancel negotiations with that engineering firm and commence negotiations with the next ranked engineering firm. If the District deems there is no engineering firm that is viable, it will re-bid the project.

All aspects of the scope of work, pricing and contract provisions may be subject to negotiation, except for contract provisions required by PAWSD or state statutes.

4. SOQ REQUIREMENTS

4.1. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Provide six (6) complete proposals, and an electronic version to:

Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District
CO Justin Ramsey
100 Lyn Avenue
Pagosa Springs, CO 81147

SOQ's must be received no later than May 8, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.

SOQ envelopes shall be marked with "RFQ-24-01 San Juan WWTP" the name of the engineering firm and the date. If shipped UPS, FedEx or other courier service the project name must be clearly marked on the outside shipping label. Be aware 24 hour delivery guarantee for both UPS and FedEx is questionable to Pagosa Springs, PAWSD recommends sending via UPS or FedEx a minimum of 48 hours in advance.

4.2. DELIVERY OF SOQ

Each SOQ must be received by the date and time as stated above. Please note that PAWSD does not always receive overnight delivery even when the courier guarantees delivery so plan accordingly.

4.3. SOQ COSTS

Those submitting SOQ's do so entirely at their own expense. There is no expressed or implied obligation by the District to reimburse any individual or firm for any costs incurred in preparing or submitting a response to this RFQ, providing additional requested information or for participating in any selection interviews.

4.4. ACCEPTANCE

Submission of any SOQ indicates acceptance of the conditions contained in the RFQ unless clearly and specifically noted otherwise in the SOQ. Exceptions that were not first submitted requesting clarifications or changes will be considered to be without merit.

4.5. CLARIFICATIONS

All questions regarding the RFQ must be submitted in writing (emails acceptable) to Justin Ramsey (justin@pawsd.org). Please refrain from asking questions until the mandatory pre-SOQ conference/tour as many questions will be answered at this meeting.

All questions received will be posted along with answers on the PAWSD website (www.pawsd.org). Final posting to the website will be on May 1, 2020.

4.6. MANDATORY PRE-SOQ CONFERENCE/TOUR

A mandatory Pre-SOQ Conference/Tour will be held at the PAWSD administration offices at 100 Lyn Ave, Pagosa Springs CO 81147 at 10:00 AM on April 10, 2020.

4.7. SOQ REJECTIONS

PAWSD reserves the right to reject any and all SOQ's, to waive any and all informalities and to disregard all non-conforming, non-responsive or conditional SOQ's. SOQ's, whether accepted or rejected, will not be returned to the engineering firm.

5. SOQ FORMAT

5.1. SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

This is a qualifications-based selection process and pricing is not permitted. Do not include in the SOQ any dollar figures or language that may reveal or hint at your professional service fees. Inclusion of any dollar figures that are questionable may result in rejection of the SOQ.

Each engineering firm is required to submit, in a sealed package or box, six (6) complete proposals to their SOQ and one electronic version in .DOC or .PDF format.

In order to facilitate the analysis of responses to this RFQ, engineering firms are required to prepare their SOQ's in accordance with the instruction outlined in this section.

Engineering firms whose SOQ's deviate from these instructions may be considered non-responsive and may be disqualified.

SOQ's should be prepared as simply as possible and provide a straightforward, concise description of the engineering firm's capabilities to satisfy the requirements of the RFQ. Excessive length will be reflected in evaluation of the SOQs.

Expensive bindings, color displays, promotional material, etc., are not necessary, nor desired. Emphasis should be concentrated on accuracy, completeness, and clarity of content. All parts, pages, figures and tables should be numbered and clearly labeled.

5.2. SOQ FORMAT

5.2.1. Letter of Transmittal and Certification of Statement of Qualifications

Provide a letter which includes the complete name of the firm or firms submitting the SOQ, the main office address or addresses, primary and secondary contact persons and their respective telephone numbers and email addresses. The letter should have attached the Certification of Statement of Qualifications (Appendix A).

5.2.2. Executive Summary

The Executive Summary should be a brief narrative highlighting the engineering firms SOQ. The summary should contain as little technical jargon as possible and should be oriented toward non-technical personnel. The Executive Summary should not exceed two single sided pages.

5.2.3. Company Profile

Engineering firms and their subcontractors (if any) must provide information about their company so the District can evaluate the engineering firm's stability and ability to support the commitments of the contract. PAWSD, at its option, may require an engineering firm to provide additional support and/or clarify requested information. Provide the business name, address, principal place of business, and telephone number of the legal entity or individual with which a contract would be written. Provide an overview of the firm, including the main features and benefits of your services and how they address this project.

5.2.4. Engineering Firm Team Qualifications

The prime engineering firm must identify its, and its subcontractors (if any), professional staff members who would be personally involved in the project, including each person's prior relevant experience in designing, implementing, and supporting similar projects. Indicate the location of the office where each normally works. Engineering firms must provide resumes of key team members and describe their proposed project organization and staffing.

PAWSD expects that the key individuals identified in the SOQ will be assigned to the project. SOQ's must commit these individuals to the project and the District reserves the right to approve any substitutions for these individuals.

Engineering firms selected for onsite interviews must include a Project Manager as part of the interview team. This Project Manager will be identified in the RFQ response and it is the expectation of the District that this manager will lead actual implementation if a contract is awarded.

5.2.5. References

Engineering firms should provide at least three (3) client references from similar sized entities within Colorado.

5.2.6. Addenda Acknowledgements

If revisions become necessary, the District will provide written addenda to this RFQ.

APPENDIX A

CERTIFICATION OF STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

RFQ Title: RFQ-24-01 San Juan Water Treatment Plant

Due Date: May 8, 2024 10:00 a.m.

I, the undersigned, having carefully examined the above referenced RFQ document, and all other related materials and information, including addenda number _____ to _____, agree to furnish services to the Pagosa Area Water and Sanitation District (District) as per this Request for Qualifications and my Statement of Qualifications. This Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) to provide services related to RFQ-20-01 will remain in effect for a period of not less than ninety (90) days from the date that SOQ's are due.

I further agree that I will at all times protect the Districts information and not make it available to any other source than the District, unless so directed by the District in writing.

Is this SOQ, or a portion thereof, is accepted by the District, I agree to enter into negotiations with the District to develop a Scope of Work and Budge for the services being requested in this RFQ.

I hereby certify that this SOQ is genuine and that I have not entered into collusion with any other proposer(s), engineering firm(s) or any other person(s).

Authorized Signer

Date

Printed Name

Phone Number

Title



Tax Identification/SS

Company Name

Address

City, State, Zip Code

Figure 1



Legend

- Roads
- PSSGID Service Area
- PAWSD_District 1 - 2
- Id**
- District 1
- District 2
- Lakes Rivers Streams & Ponds

Figure 2

